STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

## AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

FORMS AND REPORTS

CHP 453L (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER		
San Jose	Golden Gate	=11=		
EVALUATED BY		DATE		
Sgt. C. Broyles	ID# 14617	08/01/2008		

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION  Formal Evaluation  Informal Evaluation			SUSPENSE DATE 08/25/2008				
FOLLOW			☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW		DATE	
□Y€	es [	] No	BY				
1. FIE	LD OF	FICER REPORTS		08/01/2008	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a. ,	Are the	ere guidelines for the r	review of Field Officer Reports?	4		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Ar	e supervisors informe	d/aware of deficiencies?	VANOVA A DOLLAR M. T. P.		✓ Yes	□ No
(	(2) Are	e review levels approp	oriate?			✓ Yes	□ No
	(a)	Is the amount of tim	e spent reviewing documents in ba	lance with the need for de	ocument accuracy?	Yes	□ No
b. F	b. Processing and flow appropriate?					✓ Yes	□No
(	(1) Is the flow of office paperwork efficient?						□No
inwy-	(a)	Is the office filing sy	stem clear to supervisors?	3 210000		✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	is there a proper sy	stem for re-filing documents?			✓ Yes	□No
(	(2) Is t	Is there an organized system for submission of reports by officers?				✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Is there an efficient	system for handling incomplete rep	orts?		✓ Yes	□ No
<del></del>	(b)	Are officers perform	ing tasks which are more appropria	ately handled by clerical p	ersonnel?	Yes	☑ No
c. <i>F</i>	Are traf	fic collision reports ca	refully reviewed?			✓ Yes	□ No
(	(1) Who is assigned review responsibility? Officers M. Walker and J. Peterson						- VAEX-JOH-
	(a)	Are review standard	ls appropriate?	W		Yes	□ No =
	(b) Is coding proper? Is there an inordinate percentage of causes coded as unknown, other than driver or other improper driving?				vn, other than driver,	□ Yes	☑ No
(;	2) Are	procedures in place	ace to ensure issuance of CHP 170, Notice to Victims of Violent Crimes?			Yes	□ No
(;	(3) What is the percentage of investigations that result in enforcement action? unable to locate/hand scarch re						advised)
	(a)	Are controls on acci	dent investigation-related citations	appropriate?		Yes	□No
	(b)	General acceptance	by the court and district attorney?			✓ Yes	□No
(-	4) Pro	ocedures for sale of re	eport/photographs clearly understoo	od by office personnel?		✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Are copies of HPM	110.5, Collision Investigation Manu	al, revised as required?		✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Is there a clear unde	erstanding of "party of interest" as r	elated to the sale of inve	stigation reports?	✓ Yes	□No
(				20000	200		

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

## AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

### FORMS AND REPORTS

CHP 453L (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

	d.	Do	employees have a clear understanding of when CHP 268, Po	tential Civil Litigation Re	port, is required?	✓ Yes	□ No □
		(1)	Are incidents adequately investigated/reported?			✓ Yes	□No
_		(2)	Is the form reviewed/signed by the supervisor and command	ler?		✓ Yes	□No
		(3)	Is there a proper distribution of the completed form?			✓ Yes	□No
			o reviews arrest reports, CHP 202s, Driving Under the Influen P 216s, Arrest-Investigation Report?—Sergeants review arres		eport, and		×
		(1)	Does the quality of review ensure acceptance by the court a	nd district attorney?		☑ Yes	□ No
	{	(2)	How are necessary corrections handled? Sergeants return r	eports needing correction	ns to the officers during	briefings.	Officers
2000			then complete the necessary corrections and re-submit the r	eport.			- Sunday and
f.	. \	√hc	reviews enforcement documents? Sergeants initially review	and then clerical staff c	onducts a second review	et i	
	(	(1)	Are accountability procedures for CHP 215s, Notice to Appe	ar, effective?		✓ Yes	□No
	(	(2)	Are books checked out in numerical order?		✓ Yes	□No	
	(	(3)	Who is responsible for the assignment log for CHP 215s, No	tice to Appear? Clerical	staff (Adel Hightree and	l Carlos M	arifosque)
-11-11	(	(4)	Do employees understand policy as it relates to dismissal ar	d voiding of enforcemen	t documents?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(	(5)	Is there a bulletin board for employee association items?	T.		✓ Yes	□ No
			(a) Are proper procedures followed?	A		☐ Yes	☑ No
9	j. \	Who	o reviews activity reports? Sergeants				-
	(	1)	Are CHP 415s, Daily Field Record, legible?			Yes	□ No
	(	2)	Accurate?			✓ Yes	□No
	(	3)	Are comparison evaluations done with enforcement docume	nts and accident investig	ations?	✓ Yes	□No
2.	TIN	IEK	EEPING	08/01/2008	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a	a. I	s th	e error level for CHP 415s, Daily Field Record, within reason	able limits?		✓ Yes	□No
	(	1)	What are the causes of the errors? Invalid beat code, failir	ng to final prep, prior to s	submitting, not complete	ing 415s f	or days off,
		317	Officer profile not changed when an officer changes shift.	***************************************		100 700 700 700	
	- (	2)	What corrections are needed? Sergeants are to remind offi	cers of the above issues.			
	_						
	(	3)	Who is responsible for entering timekeeping information into	MIS? Shirley Kwan and	Nenita Linsao		
		* 4.5	(a) Has anyone else been cross-trained for this function?			Yes	☑ No
t	). I	s th	e error level for CHP 71s, Attendance Report, acceptable?	A COLOR OF THE COL		☑ Yes	□No
	(	1)	How often during the past year has Personnel Services Sec	tion notified Area of a ne	eded correction? 5		0.41==112-111=
	(	2)	What method is used for employees to record their own time	keeping during the mont	th? CHP 415 and 71		
				Attack to the state of the stat			

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

### AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

FORMS AND REPORTS

CHP 4531 (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

(b) Is anyone cross-trained for this function?				Yes	□ No
B. ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS	74	08/01/2008	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a. Is a system in place for collecting required data and	ensuring re	ports are submitted	by reporting deadlines?		□No
b. Who is responsible for meeting report deadlines? Ar	ea Supervi	sors and managers			
c. Is a suspense system in place to facilitate completion		-X4		✓ Yes	□ No
LOCAL FORMS	J., %	08/01/2008	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
a. Are forms limited to necessary repetitive, routine office functions which are unique to the Area?					□No
b. Is the collected information necessary for improved efficiency and effectiveness?					□ No
c. Could forms be adopted for Department-wide use?	ier i - sii Axx			✓ Yes	□No
d. is the supply adequate?				✓ Yes	□ No
e. Is a local forms log maintained by Area?	TINA	MEDELRAS		✓ Yes	□No
f. How are local forms reproduced (locally vs. Headquar	ters)?		A		
g. Are local forms sent to Headquarters as per policy?	TENA	MEDETIZOS	And the second section is a second section of the second section section is a second section of the second section sec	✓ Yes	□ No
h. Are forms properly numbered?				Yes	

- desk officer or other employees to reference as needed.
- 1. Field Officer Reports F (5)(a) The majority of the items posted in the bulletin board were dated but were not initialed as required (reference HPM 9.1).
- 2. Timekeeping b (2)(b) Nenita Linsao is cross-trained to review CHP 71s.